MIXTURE MODEL FOR INTERVAL CENSORED OUTCOMES, IN THE PRESENCE OF INFLATION OF ZEROES Yibai Zhao[†], Ryan Sheehan[†], Raji Balasubramanian[†] [†]Department of Biostatistics & Epidemiology, University of Massachusetts Amherst

Background and Motivation

- Silent events such as incident Type 2 diabetes cannot be observed directly. A sequentially diagnostic tests will be scheduled to ascertain the events.
- In the observational cohort settings, usually, there's a non-ignorable proportion of the participants already test positive for the outcome of interest at the beginning of the study.
- In [2], a stratified Weibull model was implemented to interval-censored outcome by relaxing the proportional hazard (PH) assumption across levels of a subset of explanatory data.
- In [1], a mixture model of logistic regression and Weibull survival model was proposed to account for the zero inflation at baseline in the interval-censored settings.
- We proposed a mixture model to evaluate the relationship between predictors and the interval-censored time to event of interest as well as accommodating the inflation of zeros at the baseline and allowing baseline hazard function to vary across strata defined by a subset of explanatory variables.



Mixture model

The true distribution of time to event T can be seen as an mixture distribution having mixture proportion $\pi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta_1})$ for positive tests at baseline and $(1 - \pi(z; \theta_1))$ for the rest of classes, with component distribution $Pr(T \le t; z | c = 1) = 1$ and $Pr(T \le t; z | c = 0) = 1 - S(t; \theta_2 | c = 0)$ $(0, \boldsymbol{z})$. So the final mixture model can be expressed as:

$$Pr(T \le t; \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) + (1 - \pi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1))[1 - S(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2 | \boldsymbol{c} = 0, \boldsymbol{z})]$$
(1)

• Stratified Weibull regression model

To relax the proportional hazards assumption on the Weibull regression model, we use stratified cox model, where λ_z and γ_z will be depend on covariate z, and β is the covariate coefficient for confounder x, so the survival model will be

$$S(t; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \gamma_z, \lambda_z | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \exp(-\lambda_{\boldsymbol{z}} t^{\gamma_{\boldsymbol{z}}} \exp(\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}))$$
(2)

Likelihood

Let K_1 be subjects with $c_i = 0$ or $c_i = 1$. Let K_0 be subjects whose c_i is missing at random (MAR).

 $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) =$



Simulation











So the observed log-likelihood ([1]) would be:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbf{K}_{1}} [c_{i} \log\{\pi(\mathbf{z}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1})\} + (1 - c_{i}) \log\{(1 - \pi(\mathbf{z}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1})) \times (S(L_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} | c_{i} = 0, z_{i}) - S(R_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} | c_{i} = 0, z_{i}))\}] + (\mathbf{3}) \sum_{i \in \mathbf{K}_{0}} \log[\pi(\mathbf{z}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}) + \{1 - \pi(\mathbf{z}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1})\}\{1 - S(R_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} | c_{i} = 0, z_{i})\} \}$$

,where $\theta_1 = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}$ and $\theta_2 = \{\beta, \lambda, \gamma\}$. $S(L_i; \theta_2 | c_i = 0, z_i)$ and $S(R_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2 | c_i = 0, z_i))$ are the survival function at time L_i and R_i respectively.

Fig. 1: In *Scenario I*, the probability of time to event at baseline $\pi(\theta_1 | z)$ depend on covariate z and we assume PH assumption holds.

Age (days) at which test is administered



References

[1] Li C Cheung et al. "Mixture models for undiagnosed prevalent disease and intervalcensored incident disease: applications to a cohort assembled from electronic health records". In: Statistics in medicine 36.22 (2017), pp. 3583-3595.

[2] Xiangdong Gu et al. "Stratified Weibull regression model for interval-censored data". In: *The R journal* 6.1 (2014), p. 31.

Simulation Results









• We apply proposed method to a subset from NHANES (First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) Epidemiologic Follow-up Study (NHEFS). Data are available publicly via the CDC at https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhefs/default.aspx/.

Fig. 3: Scenarios I: Relative Bias

Fig. 4: Scenarios I: Coverage Probability

Fig. 5: Scenarios II: Relative Bias

Fig. 6: Scenarios II: Coverage Probability

Application

- The goal is to
 - Estimate distribution of time to first report Diabetes in the interviews
 - Find potential risk factors for Diabetes

Check PH Assumption





Application Results



Fig. 8: Survival probability with respect to covariates stratified by weight groups.

Conclusion

- We used Logistic-Weibull mixture model to accommodate zeroinflated times, which performs better than non-mixture model, especially when proportion of positive tests at baseline is larger.
- When proportional hazards assumption does not hold, the proposed stratified Logistic-Weibull mixture model outperform non stratified model.
- In application, we used our proposed mixture stratified Weibull regression model to estimate the time to the diabetes. We found the risk factors for diabetes including weight (\geq 250lb), age (> 55) and sex (Female).

	Strata + Weight_gp=[0,150) + Weight_gp=[150,250) + Weight_gp=[250,Inf)
10	

School of Public Health & Health Sciences Biostatistics and Epidemiology

UMassAmherst